



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/997,477

11/20/2001

Leigh M. Rothschild

1.082.01

4911

1218

7590

04/04/2008

CASELLA & HESPOS  
274 MADISON AVENUE  
NEW YORK, NY 10016

EXAMINER

LEE, PHILIP C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2152

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/04/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/997,477	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ROTHSCHILD, LEIGH M.	
	<b>Examiner</b> PHILIP C. LEE	<b>Art Unit</b> 2152	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) PHILIP C. LEE. (3) Anthony J. Casella (reg. no. 24,095).  
 (2) Michael J. Porco. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 13 March 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shapiro, US 4785472 and Staffer, US 5087980.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants explains the differences between the amendment filed on 1/7/08 (claims 1 and 13) and the prior arts of records. Specifically, applicants explains that the synchronization signals in Staffer are different from the synchronization data of media selection in the filed amendment. Further search and reconsideration are still required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Philip C Lee/  
 Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2152  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.